



# Maricopa County

Air Quality Department

## Performance Test Evaluation Section

1001 N. Central Ave, Ste 400  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1942  
Phone: (602) 506-6010  
Fax: (602) 506-0586

## Air Quality Performance Test Guidelines For Compliance Determination In Maricopa County

Revised January 19, 2006

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

#### 2. TEST PLANNING

- 2.1 EPA Test Methods
- 2.2 Test Companies
- 2.3 Pre-Test Surveys
- 2.4 Test Protocols
- 2.5 Performance Test Protocol Submittal Form
- 2.6 Confidentiality Claims
- 2.7 Test Protocol Reviews
- 2.8 Test Date Notifications

#### 3. PERFORMANCE TESTING

- 3.1 Data Witnessing
- 3.2 Audit Samples
- 3.3 Traverse Point Locations
- 3.4 Cyclonic Flow
- 3.5 Number Of Test Runs
- 3.6 Permanent Data Recordkeeping
- 3.7 Time-Keeping
- 3.8 Units
- 3.9 Calibration Gases
- 3.10 Sample Times and Volumes
- 3.11 Sample Identification And Handling
- 3.12 Detection Limits
- 3.13 Leak Checks
- 3.14 Records Retention

#### 4. TEST REPORTING

- 4.1 Test Reports
- 4.2 Performance Test Report Submittal Form
- 4.3 Confidentiality Claims
- 4.4 Test Report Reviews

### ATTACHMENTS

- Attachment 1: Test Companies
- Attachment 2: Performance Test Protocol Requirements
- Attachment 3: Performance Test Protocol Submittal Form
- Attachment 4: Performance Test Report Requirements
- Attachment 5: Performance Test Report Submittal Form

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Performance testing, source testing, stack testing and compliance testing are all terms for the same requirement. Where modeling estimates the ground level concentration some distance from the point of release, performance testing is a direct measurement of emissions at the point of release. Performance tests are conducted according to established procedures in order to quantify emissions and provide an objective means for determining compliance with established emission limits.

From a technical and legal standpoint, a performance test is often the ultimate determination of compliance. While the test may be manpower and equipment intensive, the results are of great significance to both the regulatory agency and the facility. The results often determine the course of enforcement discussions between the agency and the facility. Considering the significance attached to the results, it is important that the test be performed in a valid representative manner. The complex nature of the various test methods place great responsibility on agency, facility and testing personnel to ensure each test is an accurate representation of a facility's actual emissions. Due to the precision and accuracy required for acceptable test results, it is recommended that the tests be performed by experienced professionals.

The purpose of this document is to provide guidance for facilities and test companies conducting air quality performance tests in Maricopa County. It will assist in planning and preparing for testing, conducting the test, and preparing a complete and accurate test report. Failure to incorporate the items specified for test protocols will be cause for rejection of the test protocol which may delay testing. Failure to incorporate the items specified for test reports will be cause for rejection of the test report which may delay compliance determination.

This guidance is not intended to supersede any specific requirements of the Environmental Protection Agency's Test Methods. Nor does it relieve a facility or the contracted test company from fulfilling their obligations as described by their permit and the applicable County, State, and Federal rules and regulations. It should be utilized as a means to improve the process of planning, conducting, and reporting performance tests.

The Air Quality Performance Test Guidelines are available electronically on the Maricopa County Air Quality Department (hereafter, the Department) website at:

[www.maricopa.gov/aq/permits/policies.asp](http://www.maricopa.gov/aq/permits/policies.asp)

## 2. TEST PLANNING

**2.1 EPA Test Methods** - Testing should be performed in strict accordance with procedures specified in the *Code of Federal Regulations*, Title 40, Part 60 (Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources, Appendix A), Part 61 (National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants, Appendix B), Part 63 (National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories, Appendix A) and Part 51 (Requirements for Preparation, Adoption, and Submittal of Implementation Plans, Appendix M); and in the *Maricopa County Air Pollution Control Rules*. Any deviation to the sampling or analytical procedures must be described in the test protocol and receive Department approval prior to testing. Where federal test methods are not available for the pollutants of concern, or the nature of the test site makes their use impractical, other methods may be proposed. Justification for alternate test methods must be provided which thoroughly explains the applicability of the proposed test for a particular site and pollutant.

Adherence to the standardized procedures for sampling and analyses is essential. Unless a deviation to a test method is proposed and approved, the Department will assume that testing will follow the reference method verbatim. EPA involvement may be required for some deviations.

**2.2 Test Companies** – The Department does not have a certification or approval program for test companies. Nor can we make any recommendations for or against a test company. Any test company is allowed to conduct performance tests in Maricopa County. Attachment 1 presents list of the test companies that have conducted testing in Maricopa County within the last five years. The list was prepared to provide assistance to facilities in locating test companies. Please note that selection of a test company is not limited to those that appear on this list.

**2.3 Pre-Test Surveys** - It is strongly recommended that an on-site pre-test survey be performed with the test company to establish stack dimensions, sample port locations or installation requirements, scaffolding or lift equipment requirements, electrical power requirements, operating conditions and safety requirements and procedures. Any non-scheduled maintenance or changes shall be avoided for two weeks prior to the test for system stabilization. The facility should also confirm stack accessibility by removing caps from sample ports and verify that all monitoring instrumentation is installed and working properly.

**2.4 Test Protocols** - A test protocol is required to be submitted to the Department to establish consistency and ensure that proper test methods and procedures are employed. The test protocol is a complete description of the process and control equipment, demonstration requirements, proposed test methods, and sampling location. Any

proposed deviations from the test methods must also be included for approval. Postponement of the test, or rejection of the test results may occur if the test method requirements are not met.

The facility and test company shall both identify a representative who will participate in coordinating the test. The facility representative shall be able to identify all of the process and control equipment operating parameters needed to establish the system's operating conditions during testing. For compliance determinations, representative normal operating conditions may include a worst case scenario that will allow the facility to demonstrate compliance at all times of operation.

Attachment 2 identifies the minimum requirements of a complete test protocol. Please note that this is a general list; the required information will vary depending on the facility and the pollutants of interest. The protocol should follow this format and input should be provided for each item to assure protocol approval. All pages of the test protocol shall be identified by a unique page number. A complete submittal will minimize the possibility of a test rejection as a result of improper sampling or data collection methods.

A separate test protocol shall be submitted for each piece of equipment tested. The test protocol shall be submitted at least 30 calendar days prior to the scheduled test date unless otherwise specified in permit conditions or regulations.

Performance testing shall not be conducted without an approved test protocol as required in the facility's permit conditions. Failure to submit a test protocol for review will result in the rejection of the test results.

**2.5 Performance Test Protocol Submittal Form** – The Performance Test Protocol Submittal Form provides specific test information in short answer or yes/no format. Most questions also require citation of the section and page numbers in the test protocol where the question is more fully addressed. The checklist requires signatures from representatives of both the facility and the test company. The checklist can be found as Attachment 3 to this document and also as a standalone document on the website at:

[www.maricopa.gov/aq/permits/policies.asp](http://www.maricopa.gov/aq/permits/policies.asp)

Effective May 1, 2005, a completed checklist shall accompany every test protocol submitted to the Department. A complete and accurate checklist will minimize delays in test protocol approval that could possibly result in test postponement.

**2.6 Confidentiality Claims** - Any confidentiality claims shall be accompanied by a notice of confidentiality pursuant to ARS §49-487(c) that precisely identifies the

information that should be considered confidential and protected from disclosure to the public. The notice shall contain sufficient supporting information to allow the Department to evaluate whether such information satisfies the requirements related to trade secrets or how the information could cause substantial harm to the facility's competitive edge. The Department will not, however, consider any emissions data confidential information.

When claiming confidentiality, two copies of the test protocol shall be submitted: one complete copy that includes the confidential information and a second copy for public record with the confidential information removed. The confidential test protocol should be marked as such on the cover with the pages on which the confidential material can be found identified at the beginning of the protocol. Each page that contains confidential information should also be clearly marked.

**2.7 Test Protocol Reviews** – The Department will review the test protocol to ensure that it satisfies the testing requirements in the facility's air quality permit and applicable regulations. The technical review will confirm the use of appropriate test methods, analytical procedures and sampling durations. After obtaining any additional information from the facility or the test company necessary to complete review of the test protocol, the Department will provide formal notice of approval or disapproval to the facility and test company. Modifications or additions to the test protocol may be required to ensure that the test results meet the regulatory requirements. Any disagreements regarding such modifications/additions must be resolved prior to the test date.

**2.8 Test Date Notifications** – The facility has the responsibility to notify the Department of the scheduled test date and time at least two weeks prior to the test so that a Department observer may be present. Tests shall be conducted during normal business hours unless other arrangements have been approved due to extenuating circumstances. Failure to provide adequate notification could lead to rejection of all test results.

### **3. PERFORMANCE TESTING**

Performance test results can have a significant impact on the continued operation of the process; therefore, it is important that the test be performed in a valid and representative manner.

The facility has the primary responsibility of assuring that they are ready for the test when the test team arrives at the site. Prior to testing, the facility and/or test company shall provide performance test facilities as specified by the Department to include:

1. Sampling ports adequate for the applicable test methods,

2. Safe sampling platforms, as required,
3. Safe access to the sampling platforms, and
4. Suitable power source for the sampling and testing equipment.

Process and control device operating parameters recorded during testing are often compared to future operations at the facility. The specific operating parameters required will vary from one facility or process type to another. It is the facility's responsibility to ensure that any operating parameters requested by the department are properly documented.

When conducting a performance test, great care must be taken collecting the data. The goal is complete and accurate information at representative conditions. In order to accomplish this, it is essential to coordinate testing with production and maintain contact between the facility and test team throughout the test. The following items are considered additional guidance for the data collection phase beyond the requirements provided in the individual test methods:

**3.1 Data Witnessing** - The Department attempts to provide a regulatory observer for each performance test. In the event that the Department has approved testing without a regulatory observer present, all data sheets shall be transmitted to the Department by facsimile (if requested) within twenty-four hours of completing each test run. In the event that a change to the test procedures is necessary without a regulatory observer present, the test company shall contact the Department for approval prior to proceeding with the unapproved methodology. Unapproved modifications to the approved test methods may result in rejection of the test results.

**3.2 Audit Samples** – EPA audit samples provide the opportunity to check the accuracy of the test company's sampling procedures and/or laboratory's analytical procedures and can be initiated by the facility, test company or Department. The Department shall be notified at least 30 days prior to the test date if either the facility or test company requests audit samples. The laboratory or test company shall call the Department with the analyses results of the audit samples prior to analyzing the performance test samples. If the audit sample results are within the acceptable range, the Department will give approval for the performance test samples to be analyzed. Otherwise, the laboratory will be informed that they do not have the correct results and additional analyses must be attempted.

**3.3 Traverse Point Locations** - The traverse point locations shall be clearly marked on the probe or Pitot tube and shall include the port extension length, when applicable.

**3.4 Cyclonic Flow** - Testing for the absence of cyclonic gas flow must be performed with the process operating in the same manner as it will during the test. This verification shall be conducted prior to the test and the results shall be presented in the test report.

**3.5 Number of Test Runs** - In accordance with 40 CFR Part 60 Section 60.8 and Maricopa County Air Pollution Control Rule 270, each performance test is to consist of three separate test runs, and the arithmetic mean of the results shall apply. "In the event that a sample is accidentally lost or conditions occur in which one of the three runs must be discontinued because of forced shutdown, failure of an irreplaceable portion of the sample train, extreme meteorological conditions or other circumstances beyond the owner or operator's control, compliance may, upon Department approval, be determined using the arithmetic mean of the results of the two other runs." The Department will not allow one test run to be excluded unless these conditions are met.

**3.6 Permanent Data Recordkeeping** – Non-erasable ink must be used to record data. In the event of an error, the data-taker crosses through the erroneous value with a single line, records the correct value above it, and initials the change. Strip charts and data-logger data must be clearly identified with the date, test start/stop times, parameters being recorded concurrently (with a clear and concise method of identifying each), span values, test run number, and individual tracking the data. The Department's observer may request that photocopies or electronic data be made available prior to leaving the facility.

**3.7 Time-Keeping** - All field data sheets shall document the exact starting and stopping times for each set of data collected.

**3.8 Units** - Units of data collection shall be consistent with the test method and within the test report and units must also be consistent with previous information supplied for the facility.

**3.9 Calibration Gases** – All calibration gases must be prepared in accordance with the EPA Traceability Protocol for Assay and Certification of Gaseous Calibration Standards. If EPA Traceability Protocol gases cannot be obtained due to a lack of NIST standards, certified gas standards with an accuracy of  $\pm 2\%$  or better must be used. Documentation from the gas supplier must be provided to verify that the certified concentration was valid at the time of testing. Tests conducted with any expired calibration gases must be voided. Alternatively, the expired gases may be reanalyzed and the recertification values shall be used. Upon discovery, the Department must be notified in writing of any testing that has been performed with expired calibration gases.

**3.10 Sampling Times and Volumes** – Unless otherwise specified in test methods, permit conditions, regulations or written approval, each test run shall have a minimum

sampling time of 60 minutes and a minimum sampling volume of 30 dry standard cubic feet (dscf). Longer sampling times or volumes may be necessary for variable processes where sampling during an entire batch cycle may be necessary.

**3.11 Sample Identification and Handling** - All samples and filters must be labeled and uniquely numbered to ensure positive identification throughout the sampling and analyses procedures. Identification shall be provided for each container with the number of the container recorded on the field forms, the chain of custody sheets and on the analyses data forms. Chain of custody sheets shall be updated any time a sample changes hands. This includes samples taken to an in-house laboratory. Samples with limited hold times or requiring special handling, such as refrigeration, must have this information available on the chain of custody sheet.

**3.12 Detection Limits** – A reasonable attempt must be made to obtain results that are greater than the method detection limit. There are several ways to potentially increase the pollutant concentration above the detection limit, including (1) increasing the sample volume, (2) concentrating the sample, and (3) using high-sensitivity analytical techniques. If appropriate steps are not taken, the results that are below the detection limit could be considered unacceptable. If the results for a sample is less than the analytical detection limit, despite reasonable efforts to obtain detectable results, the detection limit shall be utilized in the emissions calculations. For reagent blank values less than the analytical detection limit, a value of zero shall be used.

**3.13 Leak Checks** – Immediately following every sampling run and prior to any change in sampling train components, a leak check of the entire sampling train must be conducted. Pretest leak checks are recommended, but not mandatory. For isokinetic sampling, the leakage rate at the highest vacuum during the run must not exceed the lesser of 0.02 cfm or 4% of the average sampling rate. For constant rate sampling, the leakage rate at the highest vacuum during the run must not exceed 2% of the sampling rate. All leak checks must be conducted as specified in the approved test method. The Department may allow correction of the sample volume on a case-by-case basis using the following criteria:

- The reason for the excessive leakage, if known
- The measured leakage rate versus the allowable leakage rate
- The average vacuum during testing versus that during the leakage rate determination
- The number of test runs in the series that have leakage rates in excess of the allowable

**3.14 Records Retention** - Test companies should be aware that the records retention requirement for facilities is a minimum of five years. Thus, any field notes, laboratory analyses sheets and original data sheets shall be retained for this time period.



## 4. TEST REPORTING

**4.1 Test Reports** - A copy of the test report shall be submitted to the department within 30 days following the test date or the last test date when conducting a series of consecutive tests unless otherwise specified in permit conditions or regulations. If circumstances prevent report submission within the required time frame, Department approval must be requested as soon as possible. A description of the circumstances will be required for evaluation.

A separate test report shall be submitted for each piece of equipment tested. In addition to reporting the results, the test report shall include descriptions of the facility, the sampling and analytical methodology used, the process operating conditions, all raw field and lab data, and calculation methods. Field and lab data shall include copies of all original field data sheets (computer generated copies of the field data may be included but not substituted for original hand-written sheets), clearly labeled strip chart records (may require color copies for clarity), laboratory analyses, calculations and instrument calibrations. Non-detect sample results shall be reported at the detection limit and this value shall be used in emissions calculations.

The test report is a stand-alone document and may repeat information supplied in the permit application. However, the test report will serve as evidence to both the agency and the facility as a demonstration of the compliance status of the facility. Therefore, completeness is important with respect to content and quality. Presentation in an understandable and organized manner will lend credibility to the report.

Attachment 4 identifies the minimum requirements of a complete test report. Please note that this is a general list; the required information will vary depending on the facility and the pollutants of interest. The report should follow this format and input should be provided for each item to assure report approval. All pages of the test report shall be identified by a unique page number. A complete submittal will minimize the possibility of a test rejection as a result of insufficient data. A facility's obligation has not been fulfilled until a complete test report has been received. However, compliance determination will not be made until the test report has been thoroughly reviewed.

**4.2 Performance Test Report Submittal Form** - The Performance Test Report Submittal Form is designed to verify inclusion of all general information required in a test report. This is accomplished by a series of questions with yes/no answers and citation of the section and page numbers in the test report where the question is more fully addressed. The checklist requires signatures from representatives of both the

facility and the test company. The checklist can be found as Attachment 5 to this document and also as a standalone document on the website at:

[www.maricopa.gov/aq/permits/policies.asp](http://www.maricopa.gov/aq/permits/policies.asp)

Effective May 1, 2005, a completed checklist shall accompany every test report submitted to the Department. A complete and accurate checklist should help ensure submittal of a complete test report. Please note that since this is a generic form, there may be instances where additional information is required in the test report due to the nature of the test.

**4.3 Confidentiality Claims** - Any confidentiality claims shall be accompanied by a notice of confidentiality pursuant to ARS §49-487(c) that precisely identifies the information that should be considered confidential and protected from disclosure to the public. The notice shall contain sufficient supporting information to allow the Department to evaluate whether such information satisfies the requirements related to trade secrets or how the information could cause substantial harm to the facility's competitive edge. The Department will not, however, consider any emissions data confidential information.

When claiming confidentiality, two copies of the test report shall be submitted: one complete copy that includes the confidential information and a second copy for public record with the confidential information removed. The confidential test report should be marked as such on the cover with the pages on which the confidential material can be found identified at the beginning of the report. Each page that contains confidential information should also be clearly marked.

**4.4 Test Report Reviews** – The Department will perform a cursory review of the test report to confirm that the report appears to contain all of the required components. If the report is incomplete, the Department will notify the facility and/or test company of the deficiencies in the test report, the items needed to complete the report review, and a due date for the requested items. The Department will perform a detailed, technical review of the report including verification of all calculations. Once the review is complete, formal notice of approval or disapproval will be provided to the facility and test company with any non-compliance test results handled according to established procedures.

## **ATTACHMENT 1 TEST COMPANIES**

### **ARIZONA**

Applied Environmental Consultants, Inc.  
Attn: Mr. Russell Gossett  
2465 West 12th Street, Suite 6  
Tempe, AZ 85281 (480) 829-0457

EEMC  
Attn: Mr. Ed Wadington  
3730 North Pellegrino Drive  
Tucson, AZ 85749 (520) 749-2167

GEC SA&B  
Attn: Mr. Mannie Carpenter  
2801 South 35th Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85034 (602) 393-4800

URS Corporation  
Attn: Mr. Robert W. Farmer  
7720 North 16th Street, Suite 100  
Phoenix, AZ 85020 (602) 371-1100

### **CALIFORNIA**

GE Energy  
Attn: Mr. Patrick Harritty  
1831 East Carnegie  
Santa Ana, CA 92705 (949) 794-2661

Petro Chem Environmental Services, Inc.  
Attn: Mr. Stephen D. Lewis  
P.O. Box 21416  
Bakersfield, CA 93390 (310) 717-9978

SCEC Environmental Consulting  
Attn: Ms. Leslie Johnson  
1582-1 North Batavia  
Orange, CA 92667 (714) 282-8240

Truesdail Laboratories, Inc.  
Attn: Mr. Michael Shanahan  
14201 North Franklin Avenue  
Tustin, CA 92780 (714) 730-6239

VOC Testing, Inc.  
Attn: Mr. Delbert Powell  
P. O. Box 5892  
San Bernardino, CA 92412 (714) 381-4664

World Environmental  
Attn: Mr. Keith Shannon  
13845 Alton Parkway, Suite B  
Irvine, CA 92618 (949) 472-9200

### **COLORADO**

Air Pollution Testing, Inc.  
Attn: Mr. Paul Ottenstein  
5530 Marshall Street  
Arvada, CO 80002 (800) 268-6213

TRC Environmental Corporation  
Attn: Mr. Kurt Parker  
11 Inverness Drive East  
Englewood, CO 80112 (303) 792-5555

## **KENTUCKY**

The Jordan Service Company  
Attn: Mr. Glen Toloczko  
2820 South English Station Road  
Louisville, KY 40299 (502) 267-8344

## **NEVADA**

TRC Environmental Corporation  
Attn: Test Project Manager  
6672 Boulder Highway, Suite 5  
Las Vegas, NV 89122 (702) 437-5800

## **OKLAHOMA**

Air Hygiene International, Inc.  
Attn: Mr. Jake R. Fahlenkamp  
5634 South 122<sup>nd</sup> E. Avenue, Suite F  
Tulsa, OK 74146 (888) 461-8778

## **TEXAS**

CleanAir Engineering  
Attn: Mr. Brenton Berridge  
321 Century Plaza, Suite 130  
Houston, TX 77073-6041 (800) 723-0362

Cubix Corporation  
Attn: Mr. Trey Chapman  
9225 US Highway 183 South  
Austin, TX 78747 (512) 243-0202

## **UTAH**

American Environmental Testing Co., Inc.  
Attn: Mr. Brent Benson  
6823 South 3600 West  
Spanish Fork, UT 84660 (801) 266-7111

**Note: This is a partial listing representing test contractors that the Air Quality Department knows have the ability to perform stack tests in Maricopa County. This listing should not be interpreted in any way as a recommendation by the Department. Prior to contracting with a test company, it is recommended that a thorough check be accomplished, including requesting references, resumes of site test personnel, and other information to ensure quality work will be accomplished.**

## **ATTACHMENT 2**

### **PERFORMANCE TEST PROTOCOL REQUIREMENTS**

#### **1. COVER INFORMATION**

Facility name, mailing address and physical address of equipment (if different).  
Manufacturer, model number and unit identification number of equipment tested.  
Air quality permit number.  
Test company name and address.

#### **2. FACILITY INFORMATION**

Facility name, mailing address and physical address of equipment (if different).  
Facility contact name, telephone number and fax number.  
Air Quality permit number and a copy of the applicable permit conditions.  
General description of overall facility operations with normal and maximum  
operating schedules (hr/dy, dy/wk, wk/yr).  
Safety precautions and equipment required on site.  
Facility access if other than by front lobby.

#### **3. TEST COMPANY INFORMATION**

Test company name and address.  
Test company contact name, telephone number and fax number.  
Laboratory name, address, contact name, telephone number and fax number.

#### **4. TEST INFORMATION**

Purpose of test including a list of all applicable regulations and regulatory  
requirements.  
Test schedule including the proposed date and estimated start time of test.  
Identification of all pollutants to be measured including applicable emission  
limits and demonstration requirements.  
A synopsis of the test methods and analyses procedures to be used, including  
methods to be performed concurrently.  
Documentation of all proposed deviations from the specified sampling  
procedures with justification.  
A description of the sampling equipment to be used including schematic  
diagrams, if appropriate.  
The number and length of sampling runs which will constitute a complete test.

#### **5. EMISSION POINT INFORMATION**

Drawing with actual dimensions indicating the exhaust gas flow direction from  
the process, through the control equipment, and to the emission point.

A dimensioned diagram of the inlet duct and/or stack showing the sampling locations with the distances downstream and upstream from flow disturbances per EPA Test Method 1.

Cross-sectional sketch of the inlet duct and/or stack at the sampling locations that include the sample port identifications (e.g. A and B), traverse point locations and port lengths.

Estimated inlet duct and/or stack gas conditions at the sampling locations such as temperature, moisture content and volumetric flow rate. Specific test methods may require additional estimated parameters such as estimated VOC concentration for EPA Test Method 25A calibration gas selections.

## 6. CONTROL EQUIPMENT INFORMATION

Complete description of the emission control system including the manufacturer, model number, rated capacity, rated efficiency and unit identification number.

Control equipment data to be collected during the test to ensure representative operation, who will be responsible for recording the data (facility or test team) and the frequency of collection.

Acceptable limits of control equipment operating parameters.

Description of any gas conditioning prior to the control equipment.

Description of any adjustments to or maintenance procedures performed on the control equipment for the previous six months including any adjustments or maintenance expected up to the scheduled test date.

Description of any equipment modifications, failures or malfunctions occurring during the last five years.

Summary of all emissions-related engineering evaluations conducted on the system during the last five years.

## 7. PROCESS EQUIPMENT INFORMATION

Complete description of the process operation including a process flow sheet, if helpful.

Type and quantity of raw and finished materials used in the process.

Description of any cyclical or batch operations which would tend to produce variable emissions with time.

Maximum rated capacity of the process.

Actual maximum achieved capacity of the process.

Target process rate proposed for testing (the process or production rate of the process during testing shall be the maximum allowable rate for which the facility will be permitted to operate).

Actual capacity of the process during the previous six month period.

Normal and maximum process operating schedule (hr/dy, dy/wk, wk/yr).

Process data to be collected during the test to ensure representative operation, who will be responsible for recording the data (facility or test team) and the frequency of collection.

## **8. QUALITY CONTROL INFORMATION**

Copies of all field data sheets to be used during the test.

Chain of custody procedures (e.g. sample container numbering scheme and chain of custody records).

Field quality assurance/quality control procedures (e.g. field blanks, sample storage and transport methods and applicable sample holding times).

Laboratory quality assurance/quality control procedures (e.g. manner and frequency of blanks, spikes and standards).

Statement that calibration sheets for the dry gas meter, pitot tube, nozzle, calibration gases and any other test equipment will be made available prior to the start of testing.

**ATTACHMENT 3**  
**PERFORMANCE TEST PROTOCOL SUBMITTAL FORM**





# Maricopa County

## Air Quality Department

### Performance Test Protocol Submittal Form

A copy of this form shall be completed for each piece of equipment to be tested and the completed form shall be submitted with the test protocol. In addition to answering each question in the space provided, please provide the section and page number of the test protocol in which the question is more fully addressed (in the "Protocol Section/Page Number" column). This form is available on the Air Quality website at: [www.maricopa.gov/aq/permits/policies.asp](http://www.maricopa.gov/aq/permits/policies.asp)

<i>Facility:</i>
<i>Air Quality Permit Number:</i>
<i>Equipment Being Tested:</i>
<i>Test Date(s):</i>
<i>Test Company:</i>

Target Pollutant/Constituent	Proposed Test Method	Number of Test Runs	Test Run Duration	Port Location (Inlet/Stack)	Number of Sample Points

Protocol Section/  
Page Number

1) <i>Has the specific purpose for the proposed testing been defined?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
2) <i>Will the test results be used for other regulatory purposes (e.g., emission inventories, permit application, etc.)?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
3) <i>Has the facility's operating schedule (maximum and normal in hr/day, day/wk and wk/yr) been provided?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
4) <i>Have complete process and control equipment descriptions been provided?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
5) <i>Does the process include cyclical or batch operations that could produce variable emissions?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
6) <i>Have the process operating schedule (maximum and normal in hr/day, day/wk and wk/yr) and process rate (maximum and normal) been provided?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
7) <i>Has the target process rate for testing been provided?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
8) <i>Have or will there be any adjustments or significant maintenance performed on the control equipment during the six-month period prior to testing?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9) <i>Have there been any equipment modifications, failures or malfunctions during the last five years?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
10) <i>Have there been any emissions-related engineering evaluations conducted on the system during the last five years?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	

Protocol Section/

11) Will all testing be conducted in strict accordance with the applicable test methods?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
12) Do all proposed sampling locations meet the minimum EPA Method 1 criteria for acceptable measurement sites?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
13) Will absence of cyclonic flow be verified per EPA Method 1 prior to testing?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
14) Will the oxygen concentration be determined by EPA method 3 via ORSAT or strict EPA Method 3A?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
15) Will the moisture content be determined by EPA method 4 via sample train?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
16) Have all calibration gases been certified by standard procedures and are the certifications current, if applicable?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
17) Have the procedures for documenting process and control equipment data during testing been determined?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
18) Has it been decided who will document process and control data during testing (facility or test company)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
19) Are any confidentiality claims being made with respect to this protocol? If yes, please submit both confidential and non-confidential copies of the test protocol.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	

Signatures: Representatives from the permitted facility and the contracted test company must provide signatures below.

<p><i>We, the undersigned, certify that the information provided on this form and the accompanying test protocol is truthful, accurate and complete.</i></p>	
<p>_____ Facility Representative                      Date</p> <p>Name: _____</p> <p>Title: _____</p> <p>Company: _____</p>	<p>_____ Test Company Representative                      Date</p> <p>Name: _____</p> <p>Title: _____</p> <p>Company: _____</p>

## **ATTACHMENT 4**

### **PERFORMANCE TEST REPORT REQUIREMENTS**

#### **1. COVER INFORMATION**

Facility name, mailing address and physical address of equipment (if different).  
Manufacturer, model number and unit identification number of equipment tested.  
Air quality permit number.  
Test date.  
Test company name and address.

#### **2. TEST INFORMATION**

Purpose of test including a list of all applicable regulations and regulatory requirements.  
Type of process and control equipment.  
Type of pollutants sampled.  
List of all applicable regulations and regulatory requirements.  
Test date.  
Project participants and titles (facility representatives, test team members, consultants and regulatory observers).

#### **3. SUMMARY OF RESULTS**

Detailed tabulation of results including process operating conditions and inlet duct and/or stack gas conditions.  
Discussion of significance of results relative to applicable limits and demonstration requirements.  
Discussion of all deviations from normal sampling procedures or operating conditions that occurred.  
Documentation of all process or control equipment upset conditions that occurred during the testing.  
Results of all audit sample analyses required, if applicable.  
A description of all changes made to the process or control device since the last performance test, if applicable.

#### **4. PROCESS AND CONTROL EQUIPMENT INFORMATION**

Complete description of the emission control system including the manufacturer, model number, rated capacity, rated efficiency and unit identification number.  
Complete description of the process operation including a process flow sheet, if helpful.  
Actual capacity of the process during the test.  
Description of any adjustments to or maintenance procedures performed on the control equipment for the six months prior to testing.

## **5. SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES**

Brief description of test methods utilized.

Brief description of analytical procedures.

Description of any procedures that deviated from the specified procedures.

## **6. APPENDICES**

Complete test results with one complete set of sample calculations for each test method or pollutant using actual test data.

Copies of all field data sheets.

Copies of all laboratory data including quality assurance/quality control (e.g. blanks, spikes and standards).

Copies of all chain of custody forms verifying the integrity of the samples.

Copies of all test equipment calibration sheets for the dry gas meter, orifice meter, pitot tube, nozzle and any other test equipment utilized.

Calibration gas certification sheets for each calibration gas used.

Process and control equipment data.

**ATTACHMENT 5**  
**PERFORMANCE TEST REPORT SUBMITTAL FORM**



# Maricopa County

## Air Quality Department

### Performance Test Report Submittal Form

A copy of this form shall be completed for each piece of equipment tested and the completed form shall be submitted with the test report. In addition to answering each question in the space provided, please provide the section and page number of the test report in which the question is more fully addressed (in the "Report Section/Page Number" column). This form is available on the Air Quality website at: [www.maricopa.gov/aq/permits/policies.asp](http://www.maricopa.gov/aq/permits/policies.asp)

<i>Facility:</i>
<i>Air Quality Permit Number:</i>
<i>Equipment Being Tested:</i>
<i>Test Date(s):</i>
<i>Test Company:</i>

	<i>Report Section/ Page Number</i>	
1) <i>Have all of the test results been properly tabulated and summarized?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
2) <i>Did the equipment satisfy all of applicable emission limits and demonstration requirements?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
3) <i>Did you provide a detailed discussion of the significance of the results relative to applicable emission limits and demonstration requirements?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
4) <i>Was all testing conducted in strict accordance with the applicable test methods?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
5) <i>Was the equipment operated in a manner other than its normal operating conditions during testing?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
6) <i>Were there any process or control equipment upset conditions that occurred during testing?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
7) <i>Have there been any changes made to the process or control device since the last test?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
8) <i>Were there any adjustments or significant maintenance performed on the control equipment during the six-month period prior to testing?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9) <i>Did any of the required leak checks exceed the allowable leakage rate?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
10) <i>Were any of the results below the detection level of the test method?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
11) <i>Has the actual process rate during testing been provided?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
12) <i>Did you provide all applicable process and control equipment operating data requested?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
13) <i>Have all audit sample results been included in the test report?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
14) <i>Have sample calculations using actual test data been provided?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
15) <i>Have all field data sheets been provided?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
16) <i>Have all laboratory data including quality assurance/quality control results been provided?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	

*Report Section/*

17) Have all chain of custody forms been provided?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
18) Have all test equipment calibration sheets been provided?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
19) Have all calibration gas certification sheets been provided?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
20) Were all calibration gas certifications current at the time of testing?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
21) Are any confidentiality claims being made with respect to this test report? If yes, please submit both confidential and non-confidential copies of the test report.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	

Signatures: Representatives from the permitted facility and contracted test company must provide signatures below.

*We, the undersigned, certify that the information provided on this form and the accompanying test report is truthful, accurate and complete.*

<p>_____  <i>Test Team Leader</i>                      <i>Date</i></p> <p>Name: _____  Title: _____  Company: _____</p>	<p>_____  <i>Test Company Reviewer</i>                      <i>Date</i></p> <p>Name: _____  Title: _____  Company: _____</p>
<p>_____  <i>Facility Representative</i>                      <i>Date</i></p> <p>Name: _____  Title: _____  Company: _____</p>	